Contents

Introduction	. 1
Preface	. 3
Chapter One	
The Development of the Stanislavsky System, 1906-1990	
The Initial Stage of the Development of the System	
The Beginning of the Method of Physical Actions	10
Stanislavsky's Latest Discovery	13
The New Method Is Introduced into Theatre Practice	15
The Political Campaign Against the New Method	17
Post-Stanislavsky Development of the New Method	19
Chapter Two	22
Stage Action	
Example 1: The Old Uncle	
The Characters' Conflict and Stage Actions	
Example 2: The Colonel	
Example 3: The Interview	
Example 4: The Girlfriends	
Example 5: The Jewels	31
The Focal Point of a Conflict	32
Communion on the Stage	33
The Actor's Adjustments	33
Action and Activity	34
Chapter Three	20
Elements of Acting Technique	
Energy	
Example: A Shocking Accident by Graham Greene	
Energy Exercises	
The Object	
Emotional Memory	
Entering the Stage	51
The Actor's Attention On-stage	52

Chapter Four
Structuring the Play53
Subdividing a Play into Events
Event One54
Event Two
Event Three
Event Four60
Event Five62
On the Character's Position in a Conflict
Possible Types of Conflicts in an Event
The Concept of the Superobjective64
Chapter Five
Work on a Monolog
Monolog of Natalya Petrovna from A Month in the Country 69
Monolog of the King from Hamlet, Prince of Denmark71
Monolog of Podkolesin from The Marriage73
Chapter Six
The Actor's Training
Training in the Workshop Environment80
Refining an Improvisation to the Point of a Finished Scene 87
Individual Exercises at Home89
Epilogue The Actor's Transformation93
The Actor's Transformation93
Acknowledgments95
Notes
Bibliography101
Index
About the Authors 105

Introduction

The name Stanislavsky is known to millions of theatre-lovers across the globe. Yet few in the English-speaking world have an accurate understanding of what he actually taught in the last few years of his life when he pulled all his ideas together. This is not due to any lack of interest or want of serious study but to the circumstances in which his ideas traveled to the West.

It is a complex problem, but two main causes are evident. First, most actors, directors and students are dependent on translations which originally were heavily edited and cut and which have never been revised despite the wealth of material that has become available in the last half-century. They are, in the opinion of experts and scholars, not merely inadequate but, at times, misleading. However, no alternatives are available. Second, Stanislavsky never wrote down his final formulation of the "system" and the Method of Physical Action. He was in his seventies and extremely ill. Time was running out and in a final effort to pass on his ideas, Stanislavsky gathered round him a small group of students, handpicked assistants and colleagues from the Moscow Art Theatre, and created the Opera-Dramatic Studio at which, in the last three years of his life from 1936 to 1938, he put them through an intensive course of training in acting technique, play-analysis and rehearsal method. He thereby created a living tradition which has been handed down, generation by generation, from master to student, notably at the Moscow Art Theatre Studio Theatre School and the State Institutes of Theatre Art in Moscow and Leningrad (now St. Petersburg) until the present time. Igor and Irina Levin are among the inheritors of that tradition.

The great virtue of the "system" is its openness. That, indeed, is why Stanislavsky increasingly put the word in quotes. Basic principles aside, the "system" can and must be developed. In the years after the Second World War, when the Method of Physical Action had finally been accepted, many leading Soviet directors adapted the "system" to fit changing needs and changing

circumstances, thus guaranteeing its creative power and preventing it from declining into a sterile set of rules, something which Stanislavsky feared and fought against all his life. The "system" had to live and grow. It was a way of creating living art, not a theoretical straitjacket.

Stanislavsky was also clear that the "system" had to be adapted to the needs of different cultures and traditions. It must not be mechanically transplanted across national boundaries. During the Moscow Art Theatre tour to the United States in 1923 and 1924, he realized how different American attitudes were from Russian. He developed a great admiration for the American people, their openness, their energy and their curiosity. The "system," he came increasingly to understand, could not be formulated and applied in the same way in New York as in Moscow. When he met the young Joshua Logan in the late 1920s, he told him firmly, "Don't copy me. Find your own answers."

Igor and Irina Levin summarize half a century of developments of the "system" in terms that are accessible to American artists and scholars. By concentrating on certain fundamental ideas, they cut through the many confusions that have arisen and present the Method of Physical Action in all its clarity, simplicity and logic. This they do, not through abstract theory, but through a series of contrasting, concrete examples, enabling the reader to see basic principles in action.

In addition, they remove any illusion that the Stanislavsky "system" can be identified with the Method as taught by Lee Strasberg at the Actors' Studio — an error, which, to be fair, Strasberg himself never committed. Here we are concerned with action, communication, interaction and conflict, not with a search for emotion which often has more to do with the actor himself than with the character he is playing.

This book may, and should, surprise many by its challenge to accepted notions, but its conclusions should be taken seriously.

Jean Benedetti Stanislavky scholar and biographer, author of Stanislavski and the Actor